Soil Biology & Biochemistry 86 (2015) 5-16

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Soil Biology & Biochemistry

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/soilbio

Water availability and abundance of microbial groups are key determinants of greenhouse gas fluxes in a dryland forest ecosystem



Catarina S.C. Martins, Loïc Nazaries, Catriona A. Macdonald, Ian C. Anderson, Brajesh K. Singh^{*}

Hawkesbury Institute for the Environment, University of Western Sydney, Penrith South DC, NSW 2751, Australia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 27 January 2015 Received in revised form 6 March 2015 Accepted 12 March 2015 Available online 27 March 2015

Keywords: GHG emissions Management practices Ammonia oxidizers N₂O-reducing bacteria Methanotrophs Bacteria

ABSTRACT

Forests are considered key biomes that could contribute to minimising global warming as they sequester carbon (C) and contribute to mitigate emissions of the potent greenhouse gases (GHG) including nitrous oxide (N₂O), methane (CH₄) and carbon dioxide (CO₂). Management practices are prevalent in forestry, particularly in dryland ecosystems, known to be water and nitrogen (N) limited. Irrigation and fertilisation are thus routinely applied to increase the yield of forest products. However, the contribution of forest management practices to current GHG budgets and consequently to soil net global warming potential (GWP) is still largely unaccounted for, particularly in dryland ecosystems. We quantified the longterm effect (six years) of irrigation and fertilisation and the impact of land-use change, from grassland to a Eucalyptus plantation on N₂O, CH₄ and CO₂ emissions and soil net GWP, within a dryland ecosystem. To identify biotic and abiotic drivers of GHG emissions, we explored the relationship of N₂O, CH₄ and CO₂ fluxes with soil abiotic characteristics and abundance of ammonia-oxidizers, N2O-reducing bacteria, methanotrophs and total soil bacteria. Our results show that GHG emissions, particularly N2O and CO2 are constrained by water availability and both N_2O and CH_4 are constrained by N availability in the soil. We also provide evidence of functional microbial groups being key players in driving GHG emissions. Our findings illustrate that GHG emission budgets can be affected by forest management practices and provide a better mechanistic understanding for future mitigation options.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Intensive anthropogenic disturbances in terrestrial ecosystems are rapidly increasing concentrations of N₂O, CH₄ and CO₂ gases released to the atmosphere, which directly affects global surface temperatures (IPCC, 2013). Soils are important sources and sinks of these three potent GHGs, with approximately 70% and 35% of the total N₂O and CH₄ emitted to the atmosphere from soils (Smith et al., 2003). In addition the complex terrestrial global C cycle is characterised by an annual emission of 120 Gigatons of CO₂, 50% of which is contributed by soil respiration (IPCC, 2013). Although both N₂O and CH₄ have lower concentrations in the atmosphere compared to CO₂, their GWP is 298 times and 34 times higher respectively, than that of CO₂ over a 100-year time horizon (IPCC, 2013). This makes them two of the most important non-CO₂ GHGs to include in future mitigation options.

Human activities can directly change GHG fluxes and alter how terrestrial ecosystems influence the climate and future GHG emission budgets. Dryland ecosystems (hyper-arid, arid, semi-arid and dry sub-humid ecosystems) are particularly important and cover about 41% of Earth's terrestrial surface (Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, 2005). They are expected to expand further by 10% globally under predicted climate change (Feng and Fu, 2013). These ecosystems are characterized by extremely low availability of soil water and nutrients, resulting from low precipitation and high evaporation (Delgado-Baquerizo et al., 2013) and hence, are considered to be highly vulnerable ecosystems. The expected expansion of dryland ecosystems not only impact human populations but can also affect current GHG fluxes from these ecosystems and further contribute to increasing GHG emissions into the atmosphere. In fact, even though studies in arid-zone soils are rare, both CH₄ oxidation (Dalal et al., 2008) as well as N₂O emissions are reported, the latter occurring mostly after summer rainfall (Barton et al., 2013). However, the mechanisms and drivers

0038-0717/© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

E-mail address: b.singh@uws.edu.au (B.K. Singh).

Corresponding author.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.03.012

of GHG production and consumption in dryland ecosystems are not fully understood.

Nitrous oxide is emitted from terrestrial ecosystems through a combination of microbial processes, mostly nitrification-mediated pathways (nitrifier nitrification and/or nitrifier denitrification) and denitrification (Baggs, 2011). Nitrification-mediated pathways are facilitated by ammonia-oxidizing archaea (AOA) and ammoniaoxidizing bacteria (AOB) by aerobic oxidation of ammonia (NH_4^+) but this can also occur through the reduction of nitrite (NO_2^{-}) by relevant AOB (Kool et al., 2010). Denitrifying microorganisms can also generate N₂O as an intermediate or as an end product of the anaerobic respiratory pathway by reducing nitrate (NO_3^{-}) or NO_2^{-} (Baggs, 2011). More recently, work has demonstrated a N_2O sink capacity for soils via the activity of N₂O-reducing microorganisms (Jones et al., 2014). Methane production occurs through the anaerobic process methanogenesis by methanogenic archaea. It is consumed mostly through the aerobic process methanotrophy by methanotrophic bacteria, with forests known to be dominated by CH₄-oxidising microorganisms (Le Mer and Roger, 2001). Carbon dioxide is emitted through soil respiration, a combination of root, microbial and faunal respiration, and decomposition (Rastogi et al., 2002; Singh et al., 2010). All of these GHG-producing processes are primarily controlled by substrate availability, such as mineral N and labile C as well as by soil physico-chemical factors, such as pH, soil moisture, temperature and diffusivity (Dalal and Allen, 2008). These factors regulate microbial enzymatic expression which is ultimately responsible for the production and consumption of these gases (Spiro, 2012).

Forestry plantations routinely use fertilisation (N, P and K) and irrigation practices to maximise wood production by shortening rotation times. This is intended to overcome nutrient and water deficiencies that are common in many Australian, and other dryland soils. Furthermore, changes in land-use are occurring continuously, with conversion of native woodland to grazed pastures as well as conversion of pasture to forest plantations. The latter known to improve CH₄ consumption rates, reduce N₂O emissions from soil and increase C sequestration (Dalal et al., 2008; Allen et al., 2009; Livesley et al., 2009). As a consequence, some studies have addressed the impact of land-use change on GHG fluxes in Australian soils (Livesley et al., 2009; Grover et al., 2012) but much less is known about how fertilisation and irrigation affect GHG emissions from nutrient poor soils and how they alter functional microbial groups responsible for these emissions (Hu et al., 2015).

Because microbial communities play a central role in the production and mitigation of all GHGs, it is essential to understand how key functional microbial groups will respond to management practices and land-use change in order to improve the prediction of total GHG fluxes under current and future forestry management practices. In fact, knowledge of responses of GHG fluxes and their biotic drivers are practically sparse in dryland forests, with recent evidence drawing attention to the great importance of water and N availability in the net primary production and biological activity in dryland forest ecosystems (Austin et al., 2004; Delgado-Baquerizo et al., 2013). Field studies of forest fertilisation have mostly taken place in temperate and boreal forest ecosystems in the Northern Hemisphere (Levy-Booth et al., 2014) where water and nutrient limitation is less likely. Studies that link soil characteristics and N and C cycling dynamics to microbial functional dynamics are, therefore, essential for identifying the key environmental drivers of GHG fluxes in dryland forest ecosystems, and particularly to incorporate biological factors into predictive models to improve the accuracy of GHG emissions projections. This study aimed at quantifying the long-term (six years) effect of fertilisation and irrigation on N₂O, CH₄ and CO₂ emissions in a *Eucalyptus* plantation, and the impact of land-use change from grassland to forest, within a dry sub-humid ecosystem. We further identified key environmental drivers within microbial and abiotic variables from soil. In addressing these aims we hypothesized that water addition and fertilisation would favour N_2O , CH_4 and CO_2 emissions by increasing nutrient and water availability to soil microbial communities and that land-use change would help mitigate GHG emissions.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Field site description

The experimental field study is situated at the Hawkesbury Forest Experiment (HFE) site $(33^{\circ}36'40''S, 150^{\circ}44'26.5''E)$, Richmond, NSW, Australia. The field site where the experiment was established covers 5 ha and was a paddock which had been converted from native pasture grasses more than a decade earlier. The soil, a sandy loam formed on alluvial deposits is classified as Chromosol within the Clarendon formation. It is characterized by low organic matter content (0.7%) and low N (<1 mg kg⁻¹) and P (8 mg kg⁻¹) concentrations. Full soil characteristics and climate description are described in Barton et al. (2010). With a precipitation/evapotranspiration ratio of 0.6, the site is classified as a dry sub-humid environment under UNEP classification (Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, 2005).

A plantation of Sydney blue gum (Eucalyptus saligna Sm.) consisting of 1000 trees ha⁻¹ was established in April 2007. Three different management practices, namely irrigation (I), solid fertilisation (F) and irrigation \times liquid fertilisation (IF), were initiated together with a control treatment (C) which received no irrigation or fertilisation. Four experimental plots (38.5 m \times 41.6 m) were replicated in a randomized block design and all trees were initially supplied with 50 g diammonium phosphate (DAP) starter blend (N 15.3%, P 8.0%, K 16.0%, S 7.7%, Ca 0.3%) to promote tree establishment. The first fertilisation event in F and IF was undertaken in January 2008 as a solid N fertiliser (N 20.6%, P 3.0%, K 7.5%, S 3.8%, Ca 4.4%) at a rate of 25 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. In October 2008, solid N fertiliser (N 21.6%, P 8.1%, K 12.0%, S 0.6%) was applied uniformly to F, and IF started with the addition of a complete liquid fertiliser (N 20.8%, P 7.9%, K 15.6%) plus liquid N fertiliser (urea-N 46%). Both treatments were at a rate of 150 kg $N ha^{-1} yr^{-1}$ and 55 kg P ha $^{-1}$ yr $^{-1}$. In I, grey water (pH 8.8, total N 0.6 mg/L, total P 3.0 mg/L) has been supplied since the establishment of the field site at a rate of 7-20 mm every 4 days, according to season and precipitation events. The irrigation rate applied to IF was the same as to I. The irrigation treatments were applied all year round, while the fertilisation treatments occur only during the growing season. In total, 16 field plots comprising 4 different experimental treatments were considered in this study, together with 4 areas of grassland (G) surrounding the forest plots in order to assess the effect of afforestation.

2.2. Greenhouse gas flux measurement

Greenhouse gas fluxes (N₂O, CH₄ and CO₂) were measured seasonally, every twelve weeks, from the beginning of May 2013 to the end of January 2014 using a static chamber technique. All sampling activities were carried out after 4 weeks of solid fertiliser application in order to avoid potential short-term effects on flux rates and microbial communities. Three polyvinyl chloride chamber anchors (diameter = 24 cm, height = 21 cm) were inserted 10 cm into the soil in each plot, between trees favouring litter areas when possible. Chamber anchors were installed 24 h before measurements were taken in order to minimise soil disturbance impact on GHG fluxes. Air samples (20 ml) were taken from the headspace (headspace volume = 4976 cm³) after 0, 20, 40 and 60 min using a sampling port and a plastic syringe and hypodermic needle. The gas sample was immediately injected into a pre-evacuated 10-mL glass vial (Agilent Technologies, USA) sealed with a butyl rubber stopper and aluminium seal (Sigma-Aldrich, USA). Measurements were taken between 10 am and 2 pm to minimise diurnal temperature variations. Gas samples were analysed for N₂O, CH₄ and CO₂ concentration within 1 day of sampling on a 7890A gas chromatograph with a G1888 network headspace sampler (Agilent Technologies, USA) equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID) for CH₄, a micro electron capture detector (μ ECD) for N₂O and a methanizer to convert CO₂ to CH₄ for detection by FID. This system uses two 1/8" stainless steel packed columns (80/100 HayeSep Q[®], Supelco, USA) and has a minimum detection limit of 0.02 ppm, 0.20 ppm and 61.41 ppm for N₂O, CH₄ and CO₂, respectively.

Fluxes were calculated for all seasons as the slope of the linear regression from the measured headspace gas concentrations with time (Matthias et al., 1980) and expressed as micrograms of N_2O-N/N_2 CH₄–C per square meter per hour (µg N₂O–N/CH₄–C $m^2 h^{-1}$) or milligrams of CO₂–C per square meter per hour (mg CO₂–C m² h⁻¹). To avoid bias against low fluxes, fluxes below minimum detectable flux (MDF) were not discarded (De Klein and Harvey, 2012). Nitrous oxide, CH₄ and CO₂ fluxes were also upscaled to yearly estimates and reported as kilograms of CO₂ equivalents per hectare per year (kg CO_2 eq ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹), based on a 100-year time horizon (Myhre et al., 2013), which allowed for comparison between GHG flux responses. Greenhouse gas fluxes presented as negative values represent net sink taking place in the soil. Because the treatments considered here play an essential role in determining the GHG balance of soils, further assessment of their impact on the net GWP balance was considered. This was done by calculating the contribution of the treatment-induced N₂O, CH₄ and CO₂ individual emissions to the net GWP, according to Lubbers et al. (2013). Treatments that increased GHG emission balance into the atmosphere and hence have a higher GWP are represented as positive values whereas treatments that decreased the GHG emission balance into the atmosphere are represented as negative values.

2.3. Soil sampling and physicochemical analyses

Soil temperature was measured in triplicate at 0-12 cm soil depth during GHG collection next to chambers with a portable probe (Jaycar electronics, Sydney, Australia). Soil samples were collected after GHG collection, for each of the replicate treatments during four seasons (autumn, winter, spring and summer). Two soil cores (2.0 cm diameter, 0-20 cm depth) were collected and sieved through a 2 mm-mesh sieve. Subsamples were taken and stored at -80 °C before DNA extraction. The remainder was stored at 4 °C prior to physical (particle size and gravimetric water content) and chemical (pH, NH_4^+ , NO_3^- , PO_4^{3-} , total C and total N) analysis. Soil gravimetric water content was measured by drying fresh soil at 105 °C for 24 h. Soil pH was measured in a 1:5 fresh soil:mili-Q water suspension after shaking for 1 h, using a pH meter (SevenEasy pH, Metler Toledo, Switzerland). Extractable NH₄⁺, NO₃⁻ and PO₄³⁻ concentrations in the soil were determined on a SEAL AQ2 Discrete Analyser (SEAL Analytical Inc., USA) after extraction with KCl (2 M) and Bray-1 reagent (Bray and Kurtz, 1945), respectively. Total C and N were measured on air-dried soil at the beginning and end of the experiment using a LECO macro-CN analyser (LECO, USA). Water-filled pore space (WFPS) in the top 0-20 cm of the soil was calculated based on the gravimetric soil moisture measured. In 2012, bulk density was measured after collecting soil with a stainless steel ring (length = 5 cm, diameter = 7.3 cm) with a total volume of 209.3 cm^3 and soil porosity was calculated based on bulk density and assuming a particle density of 2.65 g cm $^{-3}$. Soil particle size was determined following a hydrometer method (Soil Hydrometer -5 to 60×0.001 g/ml, Carlton Glass, Australia) (Supplementary Table S1).

2.4. DNA extraction and quantitative PCR analysis

Total genomic DNA was extracted from soil using the MoBio PowerSoil DNA Isolation Kit (Mobio Laboratories, Carlsbad, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions, with modification of the soil weight used (0.50 g) and the initial cell-lysis step, using a FastPrep bead beating system (Bio-101, CA, USA) at a speed of 5.5 m s⁻¹ for 30 s. DNA extraction yields were in the range of $10.6-61.0 \text{ ng/}\mu\text{L}$ with an average of $28.8 \pm 9.1 \text{ ng/}\mu\text{L}$ (mean \pm standard deviation) and an $A_{260/280}$ ratio of $1.95 \pm 0.05 \text{ ng/}\mu\text{L}$.

Quantification of the functional genes amoA for nitrifying AOA and AOB, nosZ for N₂O-reducing bacteria and pmoA for methanotrophs were determined, using the following primers, respectively: crenamoA23f/crenamoA616r (Tourna et al., 2008), amoA1f/amoA2r (Rotthauwe et al., 1997), nosZ2f/nosZr (Henry et al., 2006) and pmo189f/pmo650r (Bourne et al., 2001). All primers were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies, Australia. Quantification of the phylogenetic 16S rRNA gene was determined to assess total bacteria present in the soil using the primers Eub338f/Eub518r (Fierer et al., 2005). All reactions were carried out using SensiFAST SYBR No-ROX (Bioline, Australia). Each sample was quantified in duplicate in a 10 µl reaction using the BioRad C1000 Touch thermal cycler CFX96 Real-Time System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, USA). Briefly, all reaction mixtures contained 5 ul of SensiFAST SYBR No-ROX (1X). 0.2 μ l of each primer (0.4 μ M), 0.2 μ l of BSA (0.4 mg/ml) and 2 μ l of diluted template DNA (0.5 ng μ L⁻¹) for all gene targets and 4 μ l $(1 \text{ ng } \mu L^{-1})$ for *pmoA* gene. Full details on gene-specific qPCR primer sequences and thermal cycling programs are listed in Supplementary Table S2. Calibration curves for amoA (AOA and AOB), nosZ and 16S rRNA gene markers using ten-fold serial dilutions were produced from cloned PCR products with plasmid pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega, Madison, USA) according to manufacturer's instructions and transformed into Escherichia coli strain IM109. Calibration curves for pmoA gene were generated from genomic DNA (Methylosinus trichosporium) using ten-fold serial dilutions. The presence of PCR inhibitors in DNA extracts was examined by testing different dilutions of soil DNA extract. Agarose gel electrophoresis was performed when testing standards and target DNA concentration to verify the amplification of individual PCR products of correct amplicon size. Melt curve analyses were conducted following each assay to verify the specificity of the amplification products. qPCR evaluation of the different target gene assays (qPCR efficiency range; standard R² values) were the following: *amoA* (AOA) (93%; \geq 0.99), amoA (AOB) (88.2-102%; >0.99), nosZ (90-112%, >0.99), pmoA (84–95%, >0.99), 16S rRNA (95–111%, >0.99). During qPCR setup, evaluation and data analysis, MIQE guidelines were followed (Bustin et al., 2009). Gene copy numbers per g dry soil normalised to extraction yield were calculated for all genes.

2.5. Statistical analysis

The effect of management practices (C, F, I, IF) and land-use change (conversion of G to C) on all variables measured were tested separately, together with the effect of season, and their interactions, by repeated measures using a linear mixed effect model approach. Replicates within each experimental plot were nested within season as random effects (to account for variability at small spatial scales). When necessary, data were transformed (logarithm, square root) to fit the assumptions of normality. A Bonferroni *posthoc* test was used for multiple pairwise comparisons. A linear regression model was then applied to each management treatment together with C (C + F; C + I; C + IF), for each GHG separately, to

explore the significance of the corresponding microbial gene abundances describing each GHG emission. Spearman rank correlation analysis was performed on each management and land-use treatment individually, together with C (C + F; C + I; C + IF; G + C) to assess possible relationships between response variables and drivers. To further explore the relative influence and effects of biotic (microbial abundance) and abiotic drivers of N₂O, CH₄ and CO₂ emissions in management practices, abiotic variables were selected for further analysis. Ammonium and NO_3^- were then combined and used as a measure of extractable inorganic N in the soil. Variables were excluded if strong intercorrelation was present (Spearman ρ_{WFPS} – gravimetric moisture = 0.95, P < 0.001; Spearman $\rho_{PO4^{3-}-Inorganic N} = 0.60, P < 0.001$) as well as variables with missing seasonal data, as in the case of total C, total N and C:N ratio. Soil temperature was initially included in the analysis but due to low contribution to the variance explained and low importance as a predictor of both N₂O and CH₄ fluxes it was excluded for both gases. Transformed variables were used when appropriate to fit the assumptions of normality. A multi-model inference approach based on information theory (Burnham and Anderson, 2002) was then applied to assess the relative importance of biotic and abiotic variables in predicting N₂O, CH₄ and CO₂ emissions and the probability that a given model best describes the observed data. However, this approach was not used to identify important variables predicting GHG emissions under land-use change because there were no significant differences in N₂O and CH₄ emissions following afforestation. In the case of CO₂, because root respiration from grassland was not taken into account in the total soil respiration measured, the multi-model approach was not applied. All statistical analyses were performed with GENSTAT v16 (VSN International Limited, Hemel Hempstead, UK). Multi-model analyses were carried out using SAM 4.0 (Rangel et al., 2010).

3. Results

3.1. Effect of management practices and land-use change on N_2O , CH_4 and CO_2 fluxes

Nitrous oxide emissions were low for all treatments with no added fertiliser, namely G, C and I, and did not differ significantly between each other (Fig. 1a). Fertilisation (F and IF) significantly increased N₂O emissions compared to C treatment (P < 0.001; Fig. 1a). Annual N₂O emissions were 3.5 fold greater in F and 21.1 compared greater fold in IF to C treatment $(24 \pm 8 \text{ kg N}_2\text{O}-\text{CO}_2\text{eq ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1})$. No seasonal effect or significant interactive effect of management practices with season was observed (Supplementary Table S3). Furthermore, in the case of G, C and I, only 23%, 33% and 38% respectively, of the fluxes measured were above MDF suggesting minimum or no N₂O emissions. Conversely, in the case of F and IF, 69% and 96% respectively, were above MDF. Nitrous oxide fluxes showed no significant effect of land-use change, season or interactive effect of land-use and season (Fig. 1a; Supplementary Table S3).

Soils were a net sink for CH₄ across all seasons, as indicated by negative fluxes (Supplementary Table S3), with G and I having all fluxes above MDF and C, F and IF with 96%, 94% and 98% respectively. Management practices had a significant impact on the strength of the CH₄ sink (P < 0.001; Fig. 1b); specifically the annual CH₄ sink in F was 44% lower in comparison to C, with the remaining treatments not differing significantly from each other. Season effects (P < 0.001; Supplementary Table S3) were also observed in CH₄ fluxes with spring showing the highest CH₄ uptake, with 44% greater CH₄ uptake in comparison to the lowest observed in winter. However no significant interactive effect of management practices and season was detected. Land-use change had no significant effect



Fig. 1. Effect of management practices (C, F, I, IF) and land-use change (conversion of G to C) on (a) N₂O emissions, (b) CH₄ emissions and (c) CO₂ emissions, expressed as CO₂ equivalents per hectare per year. Values represent mean \pm SEM (n = 48) of all seasons (autumn, winter, spring and summer). Statistically significant differences between management treatments (*i.e.* no grassland treatment included) are represented by different lower-case letters (a, b, c, d) and between land-use change treatments are represented by upper-case letters (A, B), according to multiple pairwise comparisons (P < 0.05).

on soil CH₄ uptake but a significant season effect was observed (P < 0.001; Supplementary Table S3), with CH₄ uptake being 41% higher in summer compared to winter. Moreover, a significant interactive effect of land-use and season was detected (P = 0.009; Supplementary Table S3), with G in winter showing the lowest CH₄

uptake rates whereas the highest CH₄ uptake was observed in G in summer.

Management treatments were significantly different between each other in the case of CO₂ (P < 0.001; Fig. 1c), whereby CO₂ emissions were 65% greater in IF, 31% greater in I and 18% lower in F, in comparison to C. A significant seasonal effect on CO₂ emissions was evident (P < 0.001; Supplementary Table S3), particularly in winter where emissions were 45% lower compared to autumn and 50% lower compared to spring and summer. Significant interactive effect of management practices and season was also observed (P = 0.03; Supplementary Table S3), with IF during spring and summer having greater emissions in contrast to lowest emissions of F in winter. The effect of land-use change on CO₂ emissions was significantly different with C emissions 53% lower in comparison to G (P < 0.001; Fig. 1c), and winter emissions 41%, 42% and 49% lower compared to spring, summer and autumn, respectively(P < 0.001; Supplementary Table S3).

3.2. Effect of management practices and land-use change on net GWP

When considering the effect of the different treatments on the net GWP balance from soil N₂O, CH₄, CO₂ fluxes, this study suggests that management practices increased the net GWP by 56% in the case of IF and 28% in the case of I in comparison to C (Fig. 2). Solid fertilisation decreased net GWP by 17%. While land-use change (afforestation) decreased the net GWP by 76% in comparison to G (Fig. 2). When assessing the contribution of each individual GHG to the net GWP (Fig. 2), overall, CO_2 had the highest contribution to the net GWP of F, I, IF and afforestation. However in F, despite the reduction of CO₂ emissions observed in this treatment leading to its net reduction of GWP, N₂O emissions contributed +9% and a reduction of CH_4 uptake contributed +5% to the net GWP. Furthermore, in IF despite CO₂ emissions being responsible for +88.2% to the net GWP, N_2O emissions contributed to +11.7%, in comparison to the negligible contribution of +0.2% from the reduction of CH₄ uptake.

3.3. Effects of management practices and land-use change on soil abiotic characteristics

Management practices in the *Eucalyptus* plantation greatly affected soil properties (Table 1). Irrigation treatment had the highest pH with 7.8 \pm 0.05, followed by IF with 7.0 \pm 0.07, C with

5.5 \pm 0.05 and F with the lowest pH of 4.9 \pm 0.02 (P < 0.001). Both I and IF had over three times higher moisture contents in comparison to both C and F which had 2% moisture (P < 0.001). Extractable PO_4^{3-} (P < 0.001) was seven times higher in F, followed by IF with five times higher, and I over three times higher in comparison to C (5.9 \pm 0.40 mg kg⁻¹ dry soil). Ammonium concentrations (P < 0.001) were three times higher in F and two times lower in IF in comparison to C ($1.3 \pm 0.15 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ dry soil), whereas NO_3^- (P < 0.001) was higher in both F $(4.5 \pm 0.27 \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \text{ dry soil})$ and IF $(3.6 \pm 0.24 \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \text{ dry soil})$, in comparison to C (0.5 \pm 0.11 mg kg⁻¹ dry soil). Although soil C:N ratios differed between treatments, total C and N did not (P = 0.030; Table 1). Ratios were highest in F (13.8 ± 0.43%) and lowest in IF (12.2 \pm 0.15%). Seasonal significant differences were seen for most soil properties measured (Supplementary Table S4).

From all the soil properties measured throughout the different seasons, only gravimetric moisture (P < 0.001), WFPS (P < 0.001), NH₄⁺ (P = 0.019), and pH (P < 0.001) significantly decreased after six years of land-use change from G to C (Table 1). Soil pH decreased from 6.2 \pm 0.03 in G to 5.5 \pm 0.05 in C, soil gravimetric moisture decreased by 50%, followed by a decrease of WFPS from 10.6% \pm 1.14 to 5.3% \pm 0.35 and NH₄⁺ from 1.9 \pm 0.17 to 1.3 \pm 0.15 mg kg⁻¹ dry soil (Table 1). As for management practices, significant differences between seasons were seen for most soil properties measured, except NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻, pH and total C (Supplementary Table S4).

3.4. Effects of management practices and land-use change on soil microbial communities

The copy numbers of AOA *amoA* gene were consistently higher in all treatments compared to AOB *amoA* gene copy numbers (Fig. 3a and b). Management practices and season effects were significantly different for both AOA and AOB (P < 0.001; Supplementary Table S5). The IF treatment soils had 138% more AOA gene copy numbers and 160% greater AOB copy numbers compared to C, followed by only 32% greater copy numbers in I for AOB (Fig. 3a and b). Irrigation treatment was not significantly different in the case of AOA and F did not significantly affect AOA and AOB *amoA* gene abundances in comparison to C (Fig. 3a and b). Both AOA and AOB showed no significant differences for land-use change and only AOB had a significant effect of season on gene abundance (P < 0.001; Supplementary Table S5). Interactive effects



Fig. 2. Net GWP (%) of management practices (F, I, IF) and land-use change (afforestation) including all N₂O, CH₄ and CO₂ flux measurements in comparison to control treatments (considered here as control (C) plots for management practices and grassland (G) plots for land-use change). The table below shows the magnitude (%) of management practices and land-use change on each individual GHG flux emissions (N₂O, CH₄ and CO₂) and contribution (%) of each treatment-induced GHG emission to the net GWP, for each individual gas.

Table 1

Effect of management practices (C, F, I, IF) and land-use change (conversion of G to C) on extractable NH_4^+ , NO_3^- , PO_4^{3-} , soil pH (H_2O), soil temperature, soil gravimetric moisture, WFPS, total C, total N and C:N. Values represent mean \pm SEM (n = 48) of all seasons (autumn, winter, spring and summer) from 0 to 20 cm. Statistically significant differences between management treatments (i.e. no grassland treatment included) are represented by different lower-case letters (a, b, c, d) and between land-use change treatments are represented by upper-case letters (A, B), according to multiple pairwise comparisons (*P* < 0.05).

Soil abiotic properties	Treatment						
	G	С	F	I	IF		
NH ₄ ⁺ (mg kg ⁻¹ dry soil)	1.9 ± 0.17 (A)	1.3 ± 0.15 (B) b	4.3 ± 0.45 a	1.0 ± 0.15 bc	0.7 ± 0.13 c		
NO_3^- (mg kg ⁻¹ dry soil)	0.6 ± 0.09 (A)	0.5 ± 0.11 (A) d	4.5 ± 0.27 a	0.9 ± 0.09 c	3.6 ± 0.24 b		
PO_4^{3-} (mg kg ⁻¹ dry soil)	4.5 ± 0.42 (A)	5.9 ± 0.40 (A) d	40.1 ± 2.22 a	18.6 ± 2.57 c	26.6 ± 1.60 b		
pH (H ₂ O)	6.2 ± 0.03 (A)	5.5 ± 0.05 (B) c	4.9 ± 0.02 d	7.8 ± 0.05 a	$7.0 \pm 0.07 \text{ b}$		
Total C (g kg ⁻¹ dry soil)	7.32 ± 0.19 (A)	7.65 ± 0.45 (A) a	8.67 ± 0.59 a	7.38 ± 0.16 a	7.63 ± 0.20 a		
Total N (g kg ⁻¹ dry soil)	0.61 ± 0.01 (A)	0.60 ± 0.03 (A) a	0.62 ± 0.02 a	0.58 ± 0.01 a	0.63 ± 0.01 a		
C:N (%)	12.0 ± 0.23 (A)	12.7 ± 0.33 (A) ab	13.8 ± 0.43 a	12.8 ± 0.27 ab	12.2 ± 0.15 b		
Gravimetric moisture (%)	4.2 ± 0.41 (A)	2.2 ± 0.12 (B) b	2.0 ± 0.08 b	7.8 ± 0.30 a	8.0 ± 0.31 a		
WFPS (%)	10.6 ± 1.14 (A)	5.3 ± 0.35 (B) b	5.2 ± 0.21 b	17.7 ± 0.70 a	18.5 ± 0.92 a		
Soil Temperature (°C)	18.7 ± 0.78 (A)	17.6 ± 0.50 (B) a	17.1 ± 0.46 b	17.0 ± 0.47 bc	16.8 ± 0.48 c		

of management practices/land-use with season were not significant for both AOA and AOB *amoA* gene abundances (Table Supplementary S5). Management practices significantly affected N₂O-reducing bacteria's *nosZ* gene abundance, with 65% lower copy numbers detected under F in comparison to C (P < 0.001; Fig. 3c). As for ammonia-oxidizers, with land-use change the *nosZ* gene abundances were not significantly different between treatments. Nonetheless, copy numbers were significantly different between season for both management practices and land-use (P < 0.001; Supplementary Table S5).



Fig. 3. Effect of management practices (C, F, I, IF) and land-use change (conversion of G to C) on various key genes involved in GHG emissions: (a) archaeal *amoA*, (b) bacterial *amoA*, (c) *nosZ*, (d) *pmoA* and (e) 16S *rRNA*, expressed as copies per gram dry soil. Values represent mean \pm SEM (n = 48) of all seasons (autumn, winter, spring and summer). Statistically significant differences between management treatments (i.e. no grassland treatment included) are represented by different lower-case letters (a, b, c, d) and between land-use change treatments are represented by upper-case letters (A, B), according to multiple pairwise comparisons (*P* < 0.05).

The abundance of methanotrophs was determined by quantifying *pmoA* gene copy numbers. For management practices, F was the only treatment significantly different from C, with 74% lower *pmoA* gene abundance (P < 0.001; Fig. 3d). *pmoA* gene abundances were not significantly different between different land-use change treatments (Supplementary Table S5) but a 35% increase was observed in C compared to G (Fig. 3d). Seasonal differences were significant for both management practices/land-use change (P < 0.001; Supplementary Table S5) but no significant differences were detected for interactive effects of management practices/ land-use change and season (Supplementary Table S5).

Bacterial abundance was targeted by quantifying 16S *rRNA* gene copy numbers. The IF treatment significantly increased 16S *rRNA* gene copy numbers by 43% and I increased by 25% in comparison to C (P < 0.001; Fig. 3e). In contrast, F had a 47% decrease in 16S *rRNA* gene abundance. Gene copy numbers significantly decreased from G to C (P = 0.003; Fig. 3e). Seasonal differences were observed in both management practices and land-use change treatments (P < 0.001) but no significant differences were detected for interactive effects of management practices/land-use change and season (Supplementary Table S5).

3.5. Relationship between microbial and abiotic factors and N₂O, CH₄ and CO₂ emissions from soil under management practices

According to the multi-model inference approach, 63 possible models were obtained for N₂O, 15 for CH₄ and 31 for CO₂, with all possible combinations of independent predictor variables. From the best fitting models that minimized AIC_c (Akaike Information Criterion corrected), the best and most parsimonious ones (smallest AIC_c and fewest variables with comparable AIC_c, respectively) describing N₂O emissions contained four and three predictor variables, respectively. The best model explained 25% of the variance found in N₂O emissions and included WFPS, inorganic N, pH and AOB abundance whereas the most parsimonious explained 23% of the variance and included WFPS, inorganic N and AOA abundance (Table 2a). When evaluating the relationship between N₂O-related genes and N₂O fluxes individually for each treatment, N₂O fluxes were significantly related to *amoA* AOA and AOB in IF (P < 0.001; Supplementary Figure S1c, S1f; Supplementary Table S6), whereas nosZ gene was not significant (Supplementary Figure S1i). On the other hand, and particularly in the case of F, only nosZ gene was significantly related to N_2O fluxes (P = 0.026; Supplementary Figure S1g). Irrigation, as expected, was not explained by either of the microbial abundances considered, probably due to low emissions detected (Supplementary Figure S1b, S1e, S1h). Ammoniaoxidizing archaea, AOB and nosZ gene abundances by themselves only explained 12%, 11% and 1% of the variance, respectively (Table 2a). Among all tested predictors, both WFPS and inorganic N were the most important factors explaining N₂O emissions, followed by pH whereas nosZ gene abundance was the predictor with least importance (Fig. 4).

The best and most parsimonious models describing CH_4 fluxes contained two and one variable, inorganic N and *pmoA* explaining 36% and *pmoA* explaining 32% of the variance found in CH_4 fluxes (Table 2b). In fact, a negative relationship of *pmoA* abundance with CH_4 fluxes was still significantly present in all treatments (P < 0.001; Supplementary Figure S2a, S2b, S2c; Supplementary Table S7). When removing *pmoA* from the best model, the variance explained substantially decreases to 15% (Table 2b). Among all tested predictors, inorganic N and *pmoA* were the most important factors explaining CH_4 fluxes with WFPS and pH having the least importance in the models obtained (Fig. 4).

In the case of CO₂ emissions, the best and most parsimonious models contained three and two predictor variables. Water-filled

pore space, soil temperature and bacterial (16S *rRNA*) abundance explaining 65% of the variance found in CO₂ emissions and WFPS and soil temperature alone explaining 61% (Table 2c). However, when considering 16S *rRNA* gene abundance as the unique driver of CO₂ fluxes, this predictor explains 30% of the variance (Table 2c) and a significant positive relationship was still found in all treatments (P < 0.001; Supplementary Figure S3a, S3b, S3c; Supplementary Table S8). Water-filled pore space, soil temperature and 16S *rRNA* gene abundance were the predominant and equally important predictors of CO₂ emissions within the variables considered (Fig. 4).

4. Discussion

4.1. Quantification of N_2O , CH_4 , and CO_2 emissions and net GWP under management practices

Our results show the soil from this study is not a natural source of N₂O since most fluxes measured in C treatment were negligible. In fact, only fertiliser (F and IF) amended treatments had significant N₂O emissions. These findings are supported by a meta-analysis study which shows that N amendment results in greater N₂O release from non-agricultural soils (Aronson and Allison, 2012). Surprisingly, I treatment alone did not affect N₂O emissions but F and IF treatments had significant N₂O fluxes, with IF having 16-fold higher fluxes compared to F. Consequently, forest management practices (F and IF) had significantly increased net soil GWP. All soils under C, F, I and IF acted as a CH₄ sink, with rates of CH₄ oxidation in forest soils known to generally exceed those of other ecosystems and land-use (Dalal and Allen, 2008). However, under N fertilised amendment with no irrigation (F), the CH₄ sink was substantially reduced which directly increased its contribution to the soil net GWP. Under the remaining treatments, the CH₄ sink made no contribution to the net GWP when considering N₂O, CH₄, and CO₂ altogether. This is because CO₂ is the main contributor to net GWP due to its higher soil efflux in comparison to N₂O and CH₄. Carbon dioxide emissions (soil respiration) were substantially increased in I and IF whereas a significant decrease under F was observed. Previously, a reduction of soil microbial respiration due to N fertilisation has been reported which was attributed to shift in metabolic capabilities or soil microbial communities (Bowden et al., 2004; Ramirez et al., 2010) Our results also suggest that in this ecosystem, microbial respiration was further constrained by water availability. This reduction in soil respiration leads to a change of direction of the net GWP of soils under F treatment. This contrasts with CO₂ contribution to a higher net GWP from soils under I and IF. Nonetheless, this study does not take into account the CO₂ emission balance between aboveground vegetation and belowground respiration. In fact, higher CO₂ emissions found in I and IF are also followed by higher tree height and diameter (Frew et al., 2013) which will compensate the CO₂ efflux from soil and hence reduce the net GWP of the managed plantation studied.

4.2. Impact of management practices and environmental drivers on N_2O emissions

Our results suggest that ammonia-oxidizers, through nitrification-mediated processes are the principal source of N_2O emissions in the IF treatment, since soil NH_4^+ appears to be the substrate for N_2O emissions as the significantly higher emissions coincided with a significant decline in NH_4^+ . Nitrous oxide production in soil by autotrophic nitrification is traditionally considered to be minor in comparison to heterotrophic denitrification (Dalal and Allen, 2008). However nitrification, rather than denitrification have been reported to be the main source of N_2O

Table 2

Best-fitting regression models of N₂O, CH₄ and CO₂ fluxes. Each column represents a different predictor variable with corresponding colour (WFPS, soil temperature (for CO₂), inorganic N, pH and microbial gene abundances). The best 8 models are presented, ranked according to AIC_c value. The last models show when microbial predictors and/or abiotic predictors are removed from the best model obtained. Unshaded cells indicate variables that were not included in a particular model. From the best 8 models selected: a) for N₂O, of all 63 possible models the first and sixth are the best and most parsimonious respectively; b) for CH₄ of all 15 possible models the first and the seventh are the best and the sixth are the best and most parsimonious, respectively; ΔAIC_c = difference between the AIC_c of each model and that of the best model; AIC_c w_i = Akaike weights; WFPS = water-filled pore space; AOA = ammonia-oxidizing archaea, AOB = ammonia-oxidizing bacteria.

WFPS	Inorganic N	pН	AOA	AOB	nosZ	R ²	AIC _c	ΔAIC_{c}	AIC _c w _i
						0.248	17.067	0	0.262
						0.243	18.268	1.201	0.144
						0.250	18.674	1.607	0.117
						0.249	18.950	1.883	0.102
						0.248	19.021	1.954	0.099
						0.227	20.121	3.054	0.057
						0.251	20.548	3.481	0.046
						0.225	20.574	3.506	0.045
						0.120	40.742	23.675	< 0.001
						0.109	43.285	26.217	< 0.001
						0.011	63.255	46.187	< 0.001

Table 2b

Table 2a

WFPS	Inorganic N	pН	pmoA	R ²	AIC _c	ΔAIC_{c}	AIC _c w _i
				0.357	1392.016	0	0.479
				0.359	1393.546	1.530	0.223
				0.357	1394.109	2.093	0.168
				0.361	1394.912	2.896	0.113
				0.336	1400.186	8.171	0.008
				0.324	1401.503	9.487	0.004
				0.316	1401.774	9.759	0.004
				0.316	1403.848	11.833	0.001
				0.150	1443.428	51.412	< 0.001

WFPS	Soil temperature	Inorganic N	pН	16S rRNA	R ²	AIC _c	ΔAIC_{c}	AIC _c w _i
					0.647	-249.835	0	0.486
					0.648	-248.189	1.646	0.213
					0.648	-247.958	1.877	0.190
					0.650	-246.861	2.974	0.110
					0.613	-232.145	17.690	< 0.001
					0.608	-231.512	18.323	< 0.001
					0.613	-230.072	19.764	< 0.001
					0.608	-229.754	20.081	< 0.001
					0.304	-123.408	126.427	< 0.001

Table 2c

emissions in semi-arid regions as soils are rarely sufficiently anaerobic to induce denitrification (Barton et al., 2008; Galbally et al., 2008). Furthermore, the capability to denitrify by AOA and AOB makes nitrifier denitrification a distinct pathway from denitrification because it is not negatively impacted by oxygen. In addition, it was found that N₂O emissions under IF were positively correlated with *amoA* gene abundance of AOA and AOB as well as with WFPS but not with *nosZ* gene abundance. This provides support for the argument that ammonia-oxidizers play a significant role in N₂O emissions under increased water and N availability. In fact, Hu et al. (2015), reported significantly higher potential nitrification rates and *amoA* activity under the same treatment when studying the metabolic activity of ammonia oxidizers in the same field site. Nonetheless, under F, even though N₂O emissions were significantly higher in comparison to C, they were much lower than IF. Ammonium, NO₃⁻ and PO₄³⁻ were also found to be three, eight and seven times higher in F in comparison to C, suggesting a reduction in the uptake of these nutrients by plants and/or microbial communities, possibly due to water limitation of microbial activity. Plots without irrigation treatments (C and F) were clearly



Fig. 4. Relative importance of environmental drivers such as microbial gene abundances (red columns) and other abiotic properties as predictor variables in models of N₂O, CH₄ and CO₂ emissions. The height of each column is the sum of Akaike weights (w_i) of all models that included the predictor of interest, taking into account the number of models in which each predictor appears. Positive (+) and negative (-) signals on top of each column corresponds to the direction of estimates for each predictor variable. Variable abbreviations are as in Table 2. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

water limited with WFPS and gravimetric moisture content values of approximately 5% and 2%, respectively. Together with flux data, our results provide evidence that both N₂O emissions and functional microbial communities were water and substrate limited in this ecosystem. Indeed, Hu et al. (2015) found similar results and showed that AOA and AOB communities remained inactive or dormant in the treatments without irrigation (C and F) in comparison to irrigated treatments (I and IF).

In our study a significant reduction of *nosZ* gene abundance was also observed under F. It has been found that denitrification enzymes can remain active under aerobic conditions, with the exception of N₂O reductase, which seems to be more sensitive to O₂ (Morley et al., 2008; Richardson et al., 2009). Additionally, low pH is also known to slow down turnover and assembly of N₂O reductase (Richardson et al., 2009; Bergaust et al., 2010), with reports of increasing N₂O:N₂ ratio with decreasing soil pH (Šimek et al., 2002; Dannenmann et al., 2008; Barton et al., 2013).

In an attempt to further identify environmental drivers that best explain N₂O emissions in a dryland forest, a multi-model inference approach was applied. The best model describing N₂O emissions from all management practices studied explained 25% of the variance found in N₂O fluxes and included WFPS, inorganic N, pH as well as AOB. The low variance explained highlights that complex pathways responsible for N₂O emissions are not fully accounted for. All microbial groups were positively related to N₂O, with higher importance of ammonia oxidizers over N2O-reducing bacteria abundance. Nonetheless, this study is limited to gene abundance and does not take into account other factors, including gene expression (Braker et al., 2012) which could help determine whether N₂O-reductase remained inactive, particularly in F. Overall, we provide evidence that N₂O emissions are directly linked to water availability, substrate concentration and functional microbial communities. Our results further suggest that in dryland forest ecosystems, nitrifier-mediated processes, nitrification and/or nitrifier denitrification, are important pathways for N₂O emissions.

4.3. Impact of management practices and environmental drivers on CH₄ emissions

Methane fluxes observed in this study were negatively correlated with pmoA gene abundance in all treatments with the strongest relationship found in F. Furthermore, both CH₄ uptake and *pmoA* gene abundance were significantly reduced under F. The effect of N fertilisers on CH₄ fluxes have been widely studied in recent years and it is thought that N can inhibit CH₄ uptake in soil due to competitive inhibition of CH₄ oxidation at the microbial enzyme level (Bodelier, 2011). This is because enzymes which carry out CH₄ oxidation and ammonia oxidation, have a similar structure and substrate specificities and therefore both compete for O₂, CH₄ and NH₃ (Mosier et al., 2004). This in turn can create an inhibitory effect of NH4⁺ produced in the soil and/or added through ammonia based fertilisers on CH₄ oxidation (Hanson and Hanson, 1996; Dalal et al., 2008). In fact, in the IF treatment, NH₄⁺ concentration was much lower in comparison to F which could explain the higher CH₄ oxidation observed in this treatment, potentially leading to less inhibition of CH₄ oxidation. Furthermore, in F, both NH_4^+ and $NO_3^$ were negatively correlated to pmoA abundance as opposed to no significant correlation in the remaining treatments, providing evidence of the negative impact of N accumulation in the soil on CH₄ oxidation activity.

Taking a multi-model inference approach it has shown that 36% of the variance of CH₄ flux across all management practices was explained by inorganic N and *pmoA* abundance. When removing *pmoA* as a potential predictor of CH₄ flux from the best model obtained, the variance explained substantially decreased to 15% suggesting that the abundance of methanotrophs has a direct effect on the net CH₄ emission balance in these soils. Our finding is consistent with a previous report which linked methanotroph abundance with CH₄ oxidation rates (Menyailo et al., 2008). In fact, inorganic N

and *pmoA* were the predictors with higher importance in all models generated, with inorganic N having a positive impact on CH₄ fluxes and all the remaining predictors having a negative impact on CH₄ fluxes (i.e. by increasing CH₄ oxidation). It could be expected that WFPS would play a more important role in predicting CH₄ fluxes by directly affecting soil O₂ levels and diffusion rates (Tate et al., 2007), but its low importance suggests the fluctuations observed between treatments were not sufficient enough to negatively alter CH₄ oxidation rates.

4.4. Impact of management practices and environmental drivers on CO₂ emissions

Our results show a significant increase in CO₂ flux under I and IF. Increased water availability in dryland ecosystems is known to increase soil respiration, particularly in the form of pulses of increased CO₂ emissions following rainfall events (Yan et al., 2011, 2014). We found a significant correlation between bacterial abundance and CO₂ fluxes in all treatments providing evidence that bacterial abundance has a substantial role in soil CO₂ emissions. Furthermore, bacteria are the most abundant microbes in the soil (Singh et al., 2009a), including at this site (Federica Colombo personal communication), making them the most important driver of soil CO₂ emissions (Singh et al., 2010). The reduction in soil respiration due to N fertilisation could be attributed to a decline in microbial biomass (Treseder, 2008) or to a reduction in organic matter decomposition, where N is not limiting microbial growth (Janssens et al., 2010). We not only observed a significant reduction of CO₂ emissions in F but also a significant reduction of bacterial abundance was evident in this treatment, with both CO₂ and 16S *rRNA* abundance showing a negative correlation with both NH_4^+ and NO₃⁻. Furthermore, CO₂ emissions and 16S rRNA abundance were significantly increased in IF which suggests that the soil respiration response to N addition was highly dependent on water availability since water availability is known to moderate the effect of other factors on soil respiration (such as temperature and substrate supply; Yan et al., 2011). It is possible that increased root respiration had also contributed to increasing soil CO₂ emissions under IL treatment because increased tree growth was observed in this treatment.

Water-filled pore space, soil temperature and 16S *rRNA* abundance explained 65% of the variance of CO₂ emissions by applying a multi-model inference approach, whereas 16S *rRNA* abundance alone explained 30%. Furthermore, these three predictors were the most important ones in all models accounted for by increasing CO₂. Clearly soil temperature, together with moisture are paramount in controlling microbial activity (Singh et al., 2010) and thus CO₂ efflux from soil (Karhu et al., 2014). Hence, in this study both seem to be key environmental factors affecting microbial growth and activity. Inorganic N and pH were less important in predicting CO₂ emissions which further suggests that in dryland ecosystems even though N can reduce soil respiration this is highly dependent on the antecedent soil water availability and optimal temperature for microbial activity.

4.5. Impact of land-use change (afforestation) and environmental drivers on GHG emissions and net GWP

Land-use change, as in afforestation, did not significantly affect differences in soil N_2O emissions and CH_4 uptake, whereas a reduction in CO_2 emissions was observed. In fact, N_2O emissions were virtually non-existent under both G and C. Previous studies have reported that it may take more than 8 years before afforestation-mediated changes in GHG fluxes can be detected (Singh et al., 2009b; Nazaries et al., 2011). This may explain the lack

of difference in GHG fluxes between G and C, because our forest plantation is only six years old. It was expected that there would be negligible contributions of both N_2O and CH_4 to net GWP in afforested soils, with the main contribution coming from the reduction of soil CO_2 emissions leading to a negative net GWP.

Gene abundances of ammonia-oxidizers, N₂O-reducing bacteria and methanotrophs were also not significantly different in G and C whereas 16S rRNA abundance was significantly different between treatments and positively correlated to CO₂ emissions. Although changes in multiple abiotic factors were observed due to afforestation (such as decrease in NH₄⁺, pH and WFPS), these do not seem to be enough to substantially alter N₂O and CH₄ related microbial abundance. Studies have shown lower soil respiration in woodlands relative to grasslands (Raich and Tufekciogul, 2000; Smith and Johnson, 2004) and even a reduction from natural forests to plantations has been found (Sheng et al., 2010). Our results are similar, with a significant reduction of CO₂ emissions under afforested soils. Moreover, it has been shown that the conversion of grasslands to woodlands can have limited effects on soil N processes (McKinley et al., 2008) and our study shows reduced effect of afforestation on inorganic N. This suggests that other environmental drivers can be affecting soil respiration, not to mention the contribution of grass root respiration not accounted for in our measurements. Water-filled pore space and soil temperature were significantly decreased under afforestation and also positively correlated to CO₂, further supporting previous reports of water availability and soil temperature as a main driver of CO₂ emissions (Davidson et al., 2006).

4.6. Conclusions

We identify mechanistic pathways and drivers of GHG fluxes under a dry sub-humid ecosystem. The soils from the dryland forest ecosystem studied were not natural emitters of N₂O and CH₄ but under current management practices their individual contributions to net GWP increased. Overall, soil N₂O and CO₂ fluxes were limited by water whereas N₂O and CH₄ were further constrained by N availability. Nitrous oxide emissions showed a strong relationship with ammonia-oxidizing communities, suggesting that nitrifierdenitrification pathway could be a principal contributor to N₂O emissions. All soils were a CH₄ sink but the sink capacity was constrained by the addition of N fertilisers which was linked to the abundance of methane-oxidizing community. This study also provides novel evidence that functional microbial groups are the major predictors of GHG emissions along with water and substrate availability. Our findings improve the mechanistic understanding of GHG emissions in dryland forest ecosystems, which should be considered in formulating future mitigation options.

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the technical assistance of Burhan Amiji, Hangwei Hu and Yui Osanai for help in field sampling. We also thank Manuel Delgado-Baquerizo for assistance with data analysis and Mark Emanuel for soil particle size analysis. This project was partly funded by the Grains Research and Development Corporation (UWS 0008) and Australian Research Council (DP13010484; DP150104199). The field site was established with support from the Australian Greenhouse Office grant 0506/0085 and subsequently by the Commonwealth Department of Climate Change, with additional funding from the NSW Department of Environment and Climate Change (grant T07/CAG/16).

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.03.012.

References

- Allen, D.E., Mendham, D.S., Singh, Bhupinderpal, Cowie, A., Wang, W., Dalal, R.C., Raison, R.J., 2009. Nitrous oxide and methane emissions from soil are reduced following afforestation of pasture lands in three contrasting climatic zones. Soil Research 47, 443–458.
- Aronson, E., Allison, S.D., 2012. Meta-analysis of environmental impacts on nitrous oxide release in response to N amendment. Frontiers in Microbiology 3, 212.
- Austin, A., Yahdjian, L., Stark, J., Belnap, J., Porporato, A., Norton, U., Ravetta, D., Schaeffer, S., 2004. Water pulses and biogeochemical cycles in arid and semiarid ecosystems. Oecologia 141, 221–235.
- Baggs, E.M., 2011. Soil microbial sources of nitrous oxide: recent advances in knowledge, emerging challenges and future direction. Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability 3, 321–327.
- Barton, C.V.M., Ellsworth, D.S., Medlyn, B.E., Duursma, R.A., Tissue, D.T., Adams, M.A., Eamus, D., Conroy, J.P., McMurtrie, R.E., Parsby, J., Linder, S., 2010. Whole-tree chambers for elevated atmospheric CO₂ experimentation and tree scale flux measurements in south-eastern Australia: the Hawkesbury Forest Experiment. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 150, 941–951.
- Barton, L., Gleeson, D.B., Maccarone, L.D., Zúñiga, L.P., Murphy, D.V., 2013. Is liming soil a strategy for mitigating nitrous oxide emissions from semi-arid soils? Soil Biology and Biochemistry 62, 28–35.
- Barton, L., Kiese, R., Gatter, D., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Buck, R., Hinz, C., Murphy, D.V., 2008. Nitrous oxide emissions from a cropped soil in a semi-arid climate. Global Change Biology 14, 177–192.
- Bergaust, L., Mao, Y., Bakken, L.R., Frostegård, Å., 2010. Denitrification response patterns during the transition to anoxic respiration and posttranscriptional effects of suboptimal pH on nitrogen oxide reductase in *Paracoccus denitrificans*. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 76, 6387–6396.
- Bodelier, P.L.E., 2011. Interactions between nitrogenous fertilizers and methane cycling in wetland and upland soils. Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability 3, 379–388.
- Bourne, D.G., McDonald, I.R., Murrell, J.C., 2001. Comparison of *pmoA* PCR primer sets as tools for investigating methanotroph diversity in three danish soils. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 67, 3802–3809.
- Bowden, R.D., Davidson, E., Savage, K., Arabia, C., Steudler, P., 2004. Chronic nitrogen additions reduce total soil respiration and microbial respiration in temperate forest soils at the Harvard forest. Forest Ecology and Management 196, 43–56.
- Braker, G., Dörsch, P., Bakken, L.R., 2012. Genetic characterization of denitrifier communities with contrasting intrinsic functional traits. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 79, 542–554.
- Bray, R.H., Kurtz, L.T., 1945. Determination of total, organic, and available forms of phosphorus in soils. Soil Science 59, 39–46.
- Burnham, K.P., Anderson, D.R., 2002. Model Selection and Multimodel Inference. A Practical Information-theoretical Approach. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Bustin, S.A., Benes, V., Garson, J.A., Hellemans, J., Huggett, J., Kubista, M., Mueller, R., Nolan, T., Pfaffl, M.W., Shipley, G.L., Vandesompele, J., Wittwer, C.T., 2009. The MIQE guidelines: minimum information for publication of quantitative realtime PCR experiments. Clinical Chemistry 55, 611–622.
- Dalal, R., Allen, D., Livesley, S., Richards, G., 2008. Magnitude and biophysical regulators of methane emission and consumption in the Australian agricultural, forest, and submerged landscapes: a review. Plant and Soil 309, 43–76.
- Dalal, R.C., Allen, D.E., 2008. Greenhouse gas fluxes from natural ecosystems. Australian Journal of Botany 56, 369–407.
- Dannenmann, M., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Gasche, R., Willibald, G., Papen, H., 2008. Dinitrogen emissions and the N₂:N₂O emission ratio of a Rendzic Leptosol as influenced by pH and forest thinning. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 40, 2317–2323.
- Davidson, E.A., Janssens, I.A., Luo, Y., 2006. On the variability of respiration in terrestrial ecosystems: moving beyond Q10. Global Change Biology 12, 154–164.
- De Klein, C., Harvey, M., 2012. Nitrous Oxide Chamber Methodology Guidelines. Global Research Alliance, New Zealand, 146 pp. http://www. globalresearchalliance.org/research/livestock/activities/nitrous-oxide-chambermethodology-guidelines/ (accessed 15.01.15.).
- Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Maestre, F.T., Gallardo, A., Bowker, M.A., Wallenstein, M.D., Quero, J.L., Ochoa, V., Gozalo, B., Garcia-Gomez, M., Soliveres, S., Garcia-Palacios, P., Berdugo, M., Valencia, E., Escolar, C., Arredondo, T., Barraza-Zepeda, C., Bran, D., Carreira, J.A., Chaieb, M., Conceicao, A.A., Derak, M., Eldridge, D.J., Escudero, A., Espinosa, C.I., Gaitan, J., Gatica, M.G., Gomez-Gonzalez, S., Guzman, E., Gutierrez, J.R., Florentino, A., Hepper, E., Hernandez, R.M., Huber-Sannwald, E., Jankju, M., Liu, J., Mau, R.L., Miriti, M., Monerris, J., Naseri, K., Noumi, Z., Polo, V., Prina, A., Pucheta, E., Ramirez, E., Ramirez-Collantes, D.A., Romao, R., Tighe, M., Torres, D., Torres-Diaz, C., Ungar, E.D., Val, J., Wamiti, W., Wang, D., Zaady, E., 2013. Decoupling of soil nutrient cycles as a function of aridity in global drylands. Nature 502, 672–676.
- Feng, S., Fu, Q., 2013. Expansion of global drylands under a warming climate. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics 13, 14637–14665.

- Fierer, N., Jackson, J.A., Vilgalys, R., Jackson, R.B., 2005. Assessment of soil microbial community structure by use of taxon-specific quantitative PCR assays. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 71, 4117–4120.
- Frew, A., Nielsen, U.N., Riegler, M., Johnson, S.N., 2013. Do eucalypt plantation management practices create understory reservoirs of scarab beetle pests in the soil? Forest Ecology and Management 306, 275–280.
- Galbally, I.E., Kirstine, W.V., Meyer, C.P., Wang, Y.P., 2008. Soil–Atmosphere trace Gas exchange in semiarid and arid zones. Journal of Environmental Quality 37, 599–607.
- Grover, S.P.P., Livesley, S.J., Hutley, L.B., Jamali, H., Fest, B., Beringer, J., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Arndt, S.K., 2012. Land use change and the impact on greenhouse gas exchange in north Australian savanna soils. Biogeosciences 9, 423–437.
- Hanson, R., Hanson, T., 1996. Methanotrophic bacteria. Microbiological Reviews 60, 439–471.
- Henry, S., Bru, D., Stres, B., Hallet, S., Philippot, L., 2006. Quantitative detection of the nosZ gene, encoding nitrous oxide reductase, and comparison of the abundances of 16S rRNA, narG, nirK, and nosZ genes in soils. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 72, 5181–5189.
- Hu, H.-W., Macdonald, C.A., Trivedi, P., Holmes, B., Bodrossy, L., He, J.-Z., Singh, B.K., 2015. Water addition regulates the metabolic activity of ammonia oxidizers responding to environmental perturbations in dry subhumid ecosystems. Environmental Microbiology 17, 444–461.
- IPCC, 2013. Climate Change 2013: the Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 1535 pp.
- Janssens, I.A., Dieleman, W., Luyssaert, S., Subke, J.A., Reichstein, M., Ceulemans, R., Ciais, P., Dolman, A.J., Grace, J., Matteucci, G., Papale, D., Piao, S.L., Schulze, E.D., Tang, J., Law, B.E., 2010. Reduction of forest soil respiration in response to nitrogen deposition. Nature Geosciences 3, 315–322.
- Jones, C.M., Spor, A., Brennan, F.P., Breuil, M.-C., Bru, D., Lemanceau, P., Griffiths, B., Hallin, S., Philippot, L., 2014. Recently identified microbial guild mediates soil N₂O sink capacity. Nature Climate Change 4, 801–805.
- Karhu, K., Auffret, M.D., Dungait, J.A.J., Hopkins, D.W., Prosser, J.I., Singh, B.K., Subke, J.-A., Wookey, P.A., Agren, G.I., Sebastia, M.-T., Gouriveau, F., Bergkvist, G., Meir, P., Nottingham, A.T., Salinas, N., Hartley, I.P., 2014. Temperature sensitivity of soil respiration rates enhanced by microbial community response. Nature 513, 81–84.
- Kool, D.M., Wrage, N., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S., Pfeffer, M., Brus, D., Oenema, O., Van Groenigen, J.W., 2010. Nitrifier denitrification can be a source of N₂O from soil: a revised approach to the dual-isotope labelling method. European Journal of Soil Science 61, 759–772.
- Le Mer, J., Roger, P., 2001. Production, oxidation, emission and consumption of methane by soils: a review. European Journal of Soil Biology 37, 25–50.
- Levy-Booth, D.J., Prescott, C.E., Grayston, S.J., 2014. Microbial functional genes involved in nitrogen fixation, nitrification and denitrification in forest ecosystems. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 75, 11–25.
- Livesley, S.J., Kiese, R., Miehle, P., Weston, C.J., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Arndt, S.K., 2009. Soil–atmosphere exchange of greenhouse gases in a *Eucalyptus marginata* woodland, a clover-grass pasture, and *Pinus radiata* and *Eucalyptus globulus* plantations. Global Change Biology 15, 425–440.
- Lubbers, I.M., van Groenigen, K.J., Fonte, S.J., Six, J., Brussaard, L., van Groenigen, J.W., 2013. Greenhouse-gas emissions from soils increased by earthworms. Nature Climate Change 3, 187–194.
- Matthias, A., Blackmer, A., Bremner, J., 1980. A simple chamber technique for field measurement of emissions of nitrous oxide from soils. Journal of Environmental Quality 9, 251–256.
- McKinley, D.C., Rice, C.W., Blair, J.M., 2008. Conversion of grassland to coniferous woodland has limited effects on soil nitrogen cycle processes. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 40, 2627–2633.
- Menyailo, O.V., Hungate, B.A., Abraham, W.-R., Conrad, R., 2008. Changing land use reduces soil CH₄ uptake by altering biomass and activity but not composition of high-affinity methanotrophs. Global Change Biology 14, 2405–2419.
- Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, 2005. Dryland Systems, Ecosystems and Human Well-being: Current State and Trends. Island Press, Washington, DC, USA, pp. 625–655.
- Morley, N., Baggs, E.M., Dörsch, P., Bakken, L., 2008. Production of NO, N₂O and N₂ by extracted soil bacteria, regulation by NO_2^- and O_2 concentrations. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 65, 102–112.
- Mosier, A., Wassmann, R., Verchot, L., King, J., Palm, C., 2004. Methane and nitrogen oxide fluxes in tropical agricultural soils: sources, sinks and mechanisms. Environment, Development and Sustainability 6, 11–49.
- Myhre, G., Shindell, D., Bréon, F.-M., Collins, W., Fuglestvedt, J., Huang, J., Koch, D., Lamarque, J.-F., Lee, D., Mendoza, B., Nakajima, T., Robock, A., Stephens, G., Takemura, T., Zhang, H., 2013. Anthropogenic and natural radiative forcing. In: Stocker, T.F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S.K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., Midgley, P.M. (Eds.), Climate Change 2013: the Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, pp. 659–740.
- Nazaries, L., Tate, K.R., Ross, D.J., Singh, J., Dando, J., Saggar, S., Baggs, E.M., Millard, P., Murrell, J.C., Singh, B.K., 2011. Response of methanotrophic communities to afforestation and reforestation in New Zealand. ISME Journal 5, 1832–1836.
- Raich, J., Tufekciogul, A., 2000. Vegetation and soil respiration: correlations and controls. Biogeochemistry 48, 71–90.

- Ramirez, K.S., Craine, J.M., Fierer, N., 2010. Nitrogen fertilization inhibits soil microbial respiration regardless of the form of nitrogen applied. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 42, 2336-2338.
- Rangel, T.F., Diniz-Filho, J.A.F., Bini, L.M., 2010. SAM: a comprehensive application for spatial analysis in macroecology. Ecography 33, 46–50.
- Rastogi, M., Singh, S., Pathak, H., 2002. Emission of carbon dioxide from soil. Current Science 82, 510-517.
- Richardson, D., Felgate, H., Watmough, N., Thomson, A., Baggs, E., 2009. Mitigating release of the potent greenhouse gas N₂O from the nitrogen cycle – could enzymic regulation hold the key? Trends in Biotechnology 27, 388–397.
- Rotthauwe, I.H., Witzel, K.P., Liesack, W., 1997. The ammonia monooxygenase structural gene amoA as a functional marker: molecular fine-scale analysis of natural ammonia-oxidizing populations. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 63, 4704-4712.
- Sheng, H.A.O., Yang, Y., Yang, Z., Chen, G., Xie, J., Guo, J., Zou, S., 2010. The dynamic response of soil respiration to land-use changes in subtropical China. Global Change Biology 16, 1107–1121. Šimek, M., Jíšová, L., Hopkins, D.W., 2002. What is the so-called optimum pH for
- denitrification in soil? Soil Biology and Biochemistry 34, 1227–1234.
- Singh, B.K., Bardgett, R.D., Smith, P., Reay, D.S., 2010. Microorganisms and climate change: terrestrial feedbacks and mitigation options. Nature Reviews Microbiology 8, 779-790.
- Singh, B.K., Campbell, C.D., Sorenson, S.J., Zhou, J., 2009a. Soil genomics. Nature Reviews Microbiology 7, 756-757.
- Singh, B.K., Tate, K.R., Ross, D.J., Singh, J., Dando, J., Thomas, N., Millard, P., Murrell, J.C., 2009b. Soil methane oxidation and methanotroph responses to

- afforestation of pastures with Pinus radiata stands. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 41, 2196-2205.
- Smith, D.L., Johnson, L., 2004. Vegetation-mediated changes in microclimate reduce soil respiration as woodlands expand into grasslands. Ecology 85, 3348-3361.
- Smith, K.A., Ball, T., Conen, F., Dobbie, K.E., Massheder, J., Rey, A., 2003. Exchange of greenhouse gases between soil and atmosphere; interactions of soil physical factors and biological processes. European Journal of Soil Science 54, 779–791
- Spiro, S., 2012. Nitrous oxide production and consumption: regulation of gene expression by gas-sensitive transcription factors. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 367, 1213–1225.
- Tate, K.R., Ross, D.J., Saggar, S., Hedley, C.B., Dando, J., Singh, B.K., Lambie, S.M., 2007. Methane uptake in soils from Pinus radiata plantations, a reverting shrubland and adjacent pastures: effects of land-use change, and soil texture, water and mineral nitrogen. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 39, 1437–1449.
- Tourna, M., Freitag, T.E., Nicol, G.W., Prosser, J.I., 2008. Growth, activity and temperature responses of ammonia-oxidizing archaea and bacteria in soil microcosms. Environmental Microbiology 10, 1357–1364.
- Treseder, K.K., 2008, Nitrogen additions and microbial biomass: a meta-analysis of ecosystem studies. Ecology Letters 11, 1111-1120.
- Yan, L., Chen, S., Huang, J., Lin, G., 2011. Water regulated effects of photosynthetic substrate supply on soil respiration in a semiarid steppe. Global Change Biology 17 1990-2001
- Yan, L., Chen, S., Xia, J., Luo, Y., 2014. Precipitation regime shift enhanced the rain pulse effect on soil respiration in a semi-arid steppe. PLoS ONE 9, e104217.